TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2002 LB 752

of the things I wanted to point out in this discussion is that, as you look at the ... the bill, it's been amended now five times, so we've got a little bit of a mess as we often do on Select File when there's been several amendments. There's no way to really look at the way the language is now laid out. Senator Bromm, I thought it would be ... one of the things that, probably the key amendment in this whole issue was the... Senator Beutler's amendment that changed, for example, when you discuss the presumption, Senator Bromm, it's no longer "is" it says "may presumed to provide testimony. That's a...a difference, obviously. In line 4 the interest of justice, it doesn't say "are" thwarted anymore, it says "may be" thwarted. Senator Bromm, I thought that would make you feel more comfortable. It certainly makes me feel more comfortable that we're not putting in blanket presumptions anymore that will box in prosecutors. And I think at the time Senator Chambers agreed to Senator Beutler's amendment, but it...it definitely changed and tenor of the...of the bill. So at any rate, for your edification since there were five Senator Bromm, different amendments, and there's no real way to look at this thing without piecing all those things together, I just wanted to point that out for the record.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I just was going to continue with Senator Bromm if he needed additional time, but that not being the case, that's all that I need. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Further debate on the Pederson amendment? Senator Pederson, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the body, I'm really gratified to see that the manner in which I've abused and physically attacked Senator Chambers hasn't affected his ability to stand up in front of you and explain things in his usual lucid manner. This amendment gives me much greater satisfaction in feeling that now we have accomplished more of a balance in, not only protecting the rights of an accused individual, but also retaining the integrity of the Nebraska judicial system. With that I would submit this amendment to you